In The United States District Court For The District Of Massachusetts

Michael A. Josey, Pro-se, Plaintiff, VS.

Elizabeth Childs, M.O. individually and in her Official capacity as 050 11787 RGS Commissioner Of The 050 11787 RGS Department Of Mental Health,

Defendant,

Harry Ford, M. O. individually and in his Official capacity

Civil Action

as a licensed Psychietrist by the State Board and employee of the Department Of Mental Health, Defendant,

John Doe, number 1, Defendant,

John Doe, number 2, Defendant,

John Doe, number 3, Defendant,

John Doe, number 4, Defendant

John Doe, number 5, Defendant, John Doe, number 7, Defendant

John Doe, number 8, Defendant,

John Doe, number 9, Defendant,

John Doe, number 10, Defendant,

John Doe, number 11 Defendant

John Doe, number 12, Defendant.

COMPLAINT

I. Jurisdiction:

1.) Jurisdiction of this Honorable Court is invoked pursuant to Title 42 U.S.C.A. X 1983. This is a Civil Action seeking to redress a deprivation of Rights, Priviledges and immunities secured citizens of the United States under the United States Constitution, Massachusetts Constitution, Statutes, Court Decisions and other sources of Law. Brought by the Plaintiff, Michael A. Josey, Pro-se, also sought is

compansatory damages, punitive damages and nominal damages in the amount of \$12,000,000.00 and a trial by jury.

II. Parties:

A. Josey, is Pro-se, a homeless person unemployed without an income or any funds who obtained a Bachelor Degree in Paralegal Studies from Suffolk University and a certificate in Paralegal from Bunker Hill Community College.

3.) The Defendant, Elizabeth Childs, M.D., is the

Commissioner Of The Massachusetts Department Of Mental Health, (Executive Office Of Health and Human Services) and under the doctine of Respondent/Superior can be held liable for the conduct of an employees employed by The Department Of Mental Health. 4.) The Detendant, Harry Ford, M.D., is a duly licensed Psychietrist by the Board and an employee of the Department Of Mental Health (Solomon Carter Fuller Mental Health Center and Massachusetts General Hospital) (Please read exhibit A attached and hereby made part of this

Complaint being filed in the United States District Court) as such his duties are created and established under law and does not have more authority than his Superior the Commissioner of Mental Health or this Court but should be held subject to follow the laws of and as documented.

5.) The Defendant, John Doe, number 1, will be named at a later date.

Ooe, number 2, will be named at a later date.

7.) The Defendant, John Doe, number 3, will be named at a later date.

8.) The Defendant, John Doe, number 4, will be named at a later date.

9.) The Defendant, John Doe, number 5, will be mamed at a later date.

10.) The Defendant, John Doe, number 6, will be named at a later date.

11.) The Defendant, John Doe, number 7, will be named at a later date.

12.) The Defendant, John Doe, number 8, will be named at a later date.

13.) The Oefendant's John

Doe, numbers (9), number (10), number (11), and number (12) will be named at a later date.

III. Statement Of Facts:

14.) One night in June or July of 2005, after 12 mid night the Plaintiff was standing on Washington Street, because the homeless shelter was full (which means one has to stay outside all night) a police car pulled up, the Officer in the vehicle shined the over head spot light on the Plaintiff and told him what about the boys" and then said "you hit someone in the head" and another police car pulled up on the Plaintiff and then both cars left.

15.) This happened shortly after the Plaintiff began handing

out a letter concerning Dr. Harry Ford's actions while the Plaintiff was a patient at Solomon Carter Fuller Mental Health Center (See Exhibit-A) and prior to the Plaintiff being set up in 1998 and Arrested for Arson individuals were told the Plaintiff snatched a pocket book this was while the Plaintiff was going to the Olin Center taking L.S.A.T. classes the Defendant used someone from one of his (the Plaintiffs) classes to try and distract the Plaintiff to stop him from taking the L. S. A. T. test Keeping him down but pretending to aid (underhandedness).

16.) The Plaintiff's been informed that their is an arrest warrent being held and can be 155 ned to have him arrested.

17.) The Plaintiff has been told that they will never back off and will always be present for the rest of Plaintiff life.

18.) In January of 1998, the Plaintiff was set up to die in a fire that took place in an apartment he was put in on Deckard Street in Roxbury to be murdered after the fire he was put in a mental hospital for two weeks to speak with a Psychietrist then arrested and

arraigned in Roxbury District Court on a charge of Arson.

19.) The Plaintiff was wrongfully imprisoned for Arson and slander was used to create prejudice just to secure a conviction everyone was told the Flaintiff's crazy in a report written by a Psychietrist and sent into the Courtroom and every place the Plaintiff went and based on this report written everyone believed what the Psychietrist wrote in his report and this false light, slander libel and deformation convinced everyone the Plaintiff was guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. 20.) In the past when a Psychietrist at Bridgewater

State Hospital labeled the Plaintiff crazy and to take medication for the rest of his life and when the Plaintiff refused a court order was sought, he was then handcuffed and injected by needle.

21.) The Plaintiff was sentenced in Roxbury District Court on the Arson charge, released after one year and they had to serve (3) three years probation (See exhibit A attached) upon release the Plaintiff went back to school and a plan was put in motion to send the Plaintiff back to prison so in March of 1999 the -11-

Plaintiff wrote a civil complaint and The Honorable Justice Lindsay was the presiding Justice and Judge Leary in Roxbury District Court discharged the Plaintiff from probation and dismissed the case.

case. 22.) Once again at the present August of 2005 another plan might take place to wrongfully imprison the Plaintiff, in fact a couple of plans are in motion to destroy the plaintiff and others to imprison him and in accordance with a letter sent to the Plaintiff in December of 2004, January of 2005 or February of 2005 informing him an arrest warrent might be

-12-

issued.

Plaintiff was wrongfully imprisoned and at issue is can the Plaintiff continue with the same thing happening but different tactics and also slanderablibel as evidence to produce false light and deformation all used to create prejudice just to secure a conviction.

IV. Cause Of Action:

23.) The Plaintiff was wrongfully imprisoned deprived of his right to Liberty in accordance with the due process clause of the Fourteenth

─ -13-

Amendment of The United State Constitution as applied to the State through the Fifth Amendment of The United States Constitution. 24.) The Plaintiff has been slandered and libeled to create deformation, a false light and prejudice was used as evidence in the past to convict him of a crime the slander used was (he's crazy") and everyone followed suit believing "he's crazy", the ban wagon effect.

25.) The Plaintiffs access to education was -14-

interfered with as a direct result of the defendants actions and slander.

26.) The Plaintiff will set forth more facts and causes of action in his amended complaint which will be submitted within the next (180) one hundred and eighty days, therefore an enlargement of time is hereby requested to amend and submit a memorandum of law.

V. Prayer For Relief: 27.) Wherefore, the Plaintiff prays that this Honorable Court will grant the following Relief: a.) Grant a waiver of all filing fees and any other cost that might come about as a result of this action because the Plaintiff is indigent (poverty stricken);

jury;

c.) Grant an enlargement of time at least (180) one hundred and eighty days for Plaintiff to submit an Amended Complaint and Memorandum of Law In Support of The Amended Complaint.

\$5,000,000.00 compansatory damages,

e.) Award Plaintiff, \$5,000,000.00 punitive damages;
f.) Award Plaintiff, \$2,000,000.00 nominal damages;
g.) Grant such other and further relief this Honorable Court might deem just and proper.

Respectfully Submitted, 15, Michael A. Josey Michael A. Josey 325 Huntington Avenue, Suite 59 Boston, WA 02115

Dated: August 29, 2005

SJS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS									
Michael	A. Jo	- Sey	Elizab	eth Child	15, M.D., Et. A							
(b) County of Residence o	f First Listed Plaintiff 5	WHOTK	County of Residence of									
	CEPT IN U.S. BY AINTERF CAN		of all relative to the Arian	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O CONDEMNATION CASES, US PVOLVED.								
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Number)		Attorneys (If Known)									
(None	<u>=</u>)		(W.	nknown								
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in (One Box Only)		RINCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff							
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government N	lot a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only) Citizen of This State	DEF Incorporated or Pri of Business In This								
J 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity		Citizen of Another State	2 D 2 Incorporated and P of Business In A								
Deterdant	(Indicate Citizenshi	p of Parties in Item III)	Citizen or Subject of a	_	□ 6 □ 6 .							
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)												
CONTRACT	TOR		FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES							
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	610 Agriculture	422 Appeal 28 USC 158	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust							
I 120 Marine 130 Miller Act	310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product	362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice	620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Seizure	423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking							
140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	365 Personal Injury -	of Property 21 USC 881 630 Liquor Laws	PROPERTY RIGHTS	450 Commerce 460 Deportation							
150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	Slander	Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal	G 640 R.R. & Truck	☐ 820 Copyrights	☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and							
151 Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers' Liability	Injury Product Liability	650 Airline Regs.	S30 Patent 840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit							
 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans 	340 Marine	PERSONAL PROPERTY	Safety/Health	12 640 Hademark	☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV							
(Excl. Veterans)	345 Marine Product Liability	☐ 370 Other Fraud ☐ 371 Truth in Lending	G 690 Other	SOCIAL SECURITY	810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/							
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	350 Motor Vehicle	380 Other Personal	☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff)	Exchange							
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	Property Damage 385 Property Damage	Act 720 Labor/Mgmt, Relations	☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410							
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 360 Other Personal	Product Liability	☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions							
☐ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY	Injury CIVIL RIGHTS	* PRISONER PETITIONS	& Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act	☐ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS	891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act							
210 Land Condermation	☐ 44! Voting	510 Motions to Vacate	790 Other Labor Litigation	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	893 Environmental Matters							
220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	442 Employment 443 Housing/	Sentence Habeas Corpus:	791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party	☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act ☐ 895 Freedom of Information							
240 Torts to Land	Accommodations	☐ 530 General	1	26 USC 7609	Act							
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	444 Welfare 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Other			☐ 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access							
	Employment	550 Civil Rights			to Justice							
	0ther	555 Prison Condition			950 Constitutionality of State Statutes							
	440 Other Civil Rights	<u> </u>										
Original D 2 R	e an "X" in One Box Only) Removed from 3 State Court	Remanded from Appellate Court		ferred from 6 Multidist								
	Cite the U.S. Civil St	atute under which you are f	iling Oo not cite Jurisdiction	al statutes unless diversity):								
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	ON Brief description of c	ause: 215+ed	in Complai	nt & will	amend.							
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.P	IS A CLASS ACTION . 23	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	y if demanded in complaint:							
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER								
8/30/05	- V	SIGNATURE OF ATTO	RNEY OF RECORD	y Pro	-se							
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			0 4									
RECEIPT# AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE												

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

	ie of case (r	name of first party on e	ach side only)_	Miche	<u>e</u> l	A.		050	.у,			
P	<u> 10-56</u>	, V5. E	lizak	eth Chi	145	M.	٠, ١	Et.A	1.			
	tegory in wi e 40.1(a)(1))	•	based upon the	e numbered nature of s	uit code	listed on	the civil	cover sheet	(See lodal			
	ا. بر	160, 410, 470, 535,	, R.23, REGARI	DLESS OF NATURE OF	SUIT.			AS (1965).	idek Jakob			
V	11.		195, 196, 368, 400, 440, 441-446, 540, 550, 555, 625, 710, 720, 730, *Also complete AO 120 or AO 121 for patent, trademark or copyright cases									
	. III.		, 345, 350, 355,	230, 240, 245, 290, 310, 360, 362, 365, 370, 371,								
	. IV.	220, 422, 423, 430, 690, 810, 861-865,		510, 530, 610, 620, 630 900.	, 640, 65	0, 660,						
_	v.	150, 152, 153.		05 CV		7	37	RG	S			
				al rule 40.1(g)). If more first filed case in this co		e prior re	lated cas	e has been fil	ed in this			
Ha	s a prior ac	tion between the same	parties and ba	sed on the same claim	ever be YES	en filed in	this co	irt?				
		plaint in this case ques	stion the const	itutionality of an act of	congres	s affectin	g the pu	blic interest?	(See 28			
US	SC §2403)				YES		NO					
lf s	so, is the U.	S.A. or an officer, agen	it or employee	of the U.S. a party?		F1						
					YES	L	NO	اسا				
is 1	this case re	quired to be heard and	determined by	y a district court of thre	e judge:	s pursuar	it to title	28 USC §2284	?			
		•			YES	Ll	NO	1				
				rnmental agencies of th ng in Massachusetts re								
						KT/		П				
					YES		NO					
,	. A.	lf yes, in which di	ivision do <u>all</u> of	f the non-governmental		reside?	NO					
	. A .	lf yes, in which di Eastern Division	ivision do <u>all</u> o	f the non-governmental Central Division		reside?		ern Division				
	А. В.	Eastern Division	vision do the m	Central Division	parties		West		ental			
		Eastern Division	vision do the m	Central Division	parties		West		ental			
	B. filing a Noti	Eastern Division If no, in which div agencies, residir Eastern Division	vision do the m ng in Massachu	Central Division najority of the plaintiffs usetts reside?	parties or the o	nly partie	West s, exclud West	iing governme ern Division				
su	B. filing a Noti ibmit a sepa	Eastern Division If no, in which divagencies, residir Eastern Division ce of Removal - are the arate sheet identifying in	vision do the m ng in Massachu	Central Division najority of the plaintiffs usetts reside? Central Division	parties or the o	nly partie	West s, exclud West	iing governme ern Division				
su LEA	B. filling a Noti ibmit a sepa	Eastern Division If no, in which divagencies, residir Eastern Division ce of Removal - are the arate sheet identifying in the sheet identification in the sheet ide	vision do the m ng in Massachu	Central Division najority of the plaintiffs usetts reside? Central Division s pending in the state of	or the o	nly partie	West s, exclud West	iing governme ern Division				
su LEA	B. filing a Noti ibmit a sepa	Eastern Division If no, in which divagencies, residir Eastern Division ce of Removal - are the arate sheet identifying in the sheet identification in the sheet ide	vision do the m ng in Massachu	Central Division najority of the plaintiffs usetts reside? Central Division s pending in the state of	parties or the o	nly partie	West s, exclud West	iing governme ern Division				